

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/038,214	PATEL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Callie E. Shosho	1714	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application: d**

(1) Callie E. Shosho.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mark Montague.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 June 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*all*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the ~~Notice of Allowability~~ *Part II above.*  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner noted that in the after-final amendment filed 5/10/04 applicants inadvertently did not indicate, in the listing of the claims, claims 1-7 and 10-16 as canceled. These claims were previously canceled in the amendment filed 11/20/03. In order that the application have the correct listing of claims, examiner stated that the after-final amendment would not be entered and that an examiner's amendment could be done to indicate the correct listing and status of all of the claims. The examiner explained that the examiner's amendment would be identical to the after-final amendment filed 5/10/04 that was not entered with the exception that claims 1-7 and 10-16 will be indicated as canceled.

In light of the above, the examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Mr. Montague.